Deformation Measurements for Seismic Hazard Assessment

Jeff Freymueller Geophysical Institute University of Alaska Fairbanks September 10, 2009



Global Positioning System



- Portable Surveying Equipment
- Precision of a few millimeters in 3D
- Repeated surveys measure motion of sites

Measuring the Crust

- GPS surveys repeated over time
- Series of positions records the motion of a point fixed to the crust
 - Plate motion
 - Deformation
 - Measurement noise
- Three Dimensions!







Rates of Motion to Hazard

- Seismic hazard and risk roughly proportional to rates of motion
- All other things being equal, the frequency of earthquakes of a given size on a fault is proportional to the slip rate of the fault

- Faster slip rate means shorter recurrence time

 Deformation data also help constrain size of seismogenic region on fault → maximum earthquake size

Outline

- Example of measuring motion before, during and after a major earthquake
 - 2002 Denali fault earthquake
- What this illustrates about general properties of faults
- Some examples from other parts of Alaska

2002 Denali Fault Earthquakes



Parks Highway

1.10

Denali fault

Photo Wes Wallace, UAF

11/3 M 7.9

10/23 M 6.7



Deformation Across Denali Fault



• 8 mm/yr total

 Possibly ~2-3 mm/yr on northern fault

~5-6 mm/yr on McKinley strand

Earthquake and Effects

Mainshock and Aftershocks



Coseismic Displacements - Horizontal



Western Part of Rupture





Coseismic Slip Model



What is Postseismic Deformation?

- Transient deformation triggered by an earthquake
 - Afterslip on the fault zone
 - Viscoelastic relaxation of the mantle or lower crust
 - Poroelastic deformation associated with earthquakedriven fluid flow (changes elastic constants)



Year

A Sample Postseismic Time Series



- Time series are shown with pre-earthquake trend subtracted (less than ~6 mm/yr relative to North America)
- Post-earthquake rates still >20 mm/yr
- Total 4-year displacement
 - 15 cm east
 - 20 cm north
 - 12 cm vertical



Postseismic Displacements



Faults





A View of a Fault

Can divide fault zone based on how fault slips

- Seismogenic Crust exhibits stick slip
- Transitional Zone may exhibit complex behavior
- Aseismic Crust exhibits stable sliding
- Crustal earthquakes involve slip of seismogenic crust and possibly transitional zone





• Block is held in place by force of friction





- Block is held in place by force of friction
- Moving load point increases elastic force



- Block is held in place by force of friction
- Moving load point increases elastic force
- Slips when elastic force exceeds friction



- Block is held in place by force of friction
- Moving load point increases elastic force
- Slips when elastic force exceeds friction



- Velocity-weakening (dynamic < static friction)
 - F_e > F_f; block accelerates
 - Velocity increases, F_f decreases; block accelerates more
 - Fe decreases with slip, in few seconds $F_e < F_f$; block decelerates
 - Velocity decreases, F_f goes up; block decelerates and stops

Alternative: Stable Sliding



- Velocity-strengthening (dynamic > static friction)
 - $F_e > F_f$; block accelerates
 - Velocity increases, F_f increases; acceleration stops
 - But velocity then remains the same
 - Velocity reaches equilibrium with shear stress

A Simple "Earthquake Cycle" Model

- Based on the spring-slider analogue model
- Between earthquakes:
 - Shallow fault is locked
 - Deeper fault is creeping at long-term slip rate
 - Stress builds up: elastic strain energy stored in crust
- During earthquake, shallowfault slips
 - Stress on fault reduced
- Cycle repeats forever

Shallow Locked Fault Causes Deformation Away from the Fault

- Earth deforms as elastic body over short timescales
- Locked shallow fault + slipping deep fault produces elastic strain in vicinity of fault
 - Most important close to fault
 - Far from fault, motion is same as rigid blocks
- Simple numerical models allow us to compute effects of fault slip
- When there are multiple faults, it can be difficult to separate the effects of each one.

Broad-Scale Deformation of Overriding Plate



Southeast Alaska Block Model





Summary

- We can measure rates of motion of Earth's crust using repeated GPS measurements, and relate these to slip on faults, or models for the motion of crustal blocks.
- Rates of slip on faults are directly related to seismic hazard
- GPS velocities also provide information about the width and extent of the seismogenic region of faults
- The information provided by geodesy is a bit different from the data usually used for hazard estimation
 - Methodology to fully incorporate this information into formal seismic hazard estimates is still being developed

















Tectonic and Earthquake Effects in Southern Alaska



