#### ASHSC HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION COMMITTEE

Carver (Chair) Combellick Hansen Cole Aho

Gary Carver May 1, 2008

## GOALS

Identify and Characterize Seismic Hazards
*What, Where, When*

Seismic Hazards = The earthquake and related natural phenomena Seismic Risk = The effects of seismic hazards on the built and operational human environment

- Define and describe seismic risks
- Promote and encourage seismic hazard and seismic risk research
- Disseminate seismic hazard and seismic risk information
  - To: State and local government Public Business and industry Scientific and professional communities

## Identification

 Primary Seismic Hazards **Strong Ground Motion - Shaking** Surface Fault Displacement Ground Failure, Slope Failure Liquefaction Subsidence **Tsunami and Seiche** 

Primary Seismic Hazard - Surface Fault Displacement - November 3, 2002 Denali Fault Earthquake -Road offset by surface displacement on the Denali fault at Mentasta



- November 3, 2002 Denali Fault Earthquake -Dent in a vertical support column on the Trans Alaska Pipeline from pipe impact during strong seismic shaking



Primary Seismic Hazard - Liquefaction - November 3, 2002 Denali Fault Earthquake -Liquefaction and lateral spreading at the Northway airport runway



Primary Seismic Hazard - Slope Failure - November 3, 2002 Denali Fault Earthquake -Large landslides on the Black Rapids glacier triggered by the Denali Fault Earthquake



#### Primary Seismic Hazard - Subsidence - 1964 Prince William Sound Earthquake -Coseismic subsidence at Afognak Village, Afognak Island, Alaska



#### Primary Seismic Hazard - Tsunami - 1964 Prince William Sound Earthquake -Tsunami Damage to the Kodiak City waterfront from the 1964 tsunami



Characterization Surface Fault Displacement **Fault location** Active fault maps Site specific mapping Fault type Detailed fault studies, mapping, trenching Characteristic and maximum slip Detailed fault study, mapping, trenching Slip rate, strain accumulation (Paleoseismology and Geodetics) Recurrence Seismicity, paleoseismicity

Slip rate, strain accumulation (Geodetics)

# Characterization Strong Ground Motion

#### Source Characterization - Geologic

Where: Fault location (Active fault mapping)

Attenuation relationships (Soil mapping)

What: Fault type (Active fault mapping, trenching)

Fault length (Active fault mapping)

<u>Segmentation</u> (Structure mapping, paleoseismic studies)

Characteristic displacement (Paleoseismic studies)

<u>Maximum displacement</u> (Active fault mapping, paleoseismic studies, offset measurements)

When: <u>Recurrence</u> (Paleoseismic studies, trenching)

Date of last event (Paleoseismic studies, trenching)

## Characterization Strong Ground Motion

#### Source Characterization - Seismologic

Where: Fault location (Earthquake locations, epicenter maps)

Attenuation relationships (Intensity mapping, strong motion seismographs)

Rupture propagation (Directivity studies)

What: Fault type (focal mechanism studies)

Fault length (Earthquake locations, epicenter maps)

Segmentation (Earthquake locations, epicenter maps)

Characteristic & maximum displacement (Magnitude/frequency measurements, seismic moment studies)

When: <u>Recurrence</u> (Moment studies, b-value analysis)

Date of last event (Historic seismicity)

### Characterization Strong Ground Motion Geodetic, NEHRP Maps

- GPS Monitoring Invite Jeff Freymueller to make presentation to the Commission
- USGS NEHRP Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Maps



# Characterization Liquefaction, Ground Failure

- Geologic and soils mapping
- Target high use/occupancy sites
- Target critical facilities
- Develop an approach for a data repository to assemble site response and soils data from a variety of sources of opportunity.

## Tsunamis

- Tsunami Inundation mapping program by DGGS and UAF GI in progress
- Focus on population centers on Gulf of Alaska coast.
- Funded by NTHMP

#### Present Status Source Identification and Characterization

# Active Fault Mapping - Poor - First Priority for Future Work

Few active faults mapped, many unmapped or unknown Most mapping very general, not at detailed scale

#### Active Fault Characterization - Poor - First Priority for Future Work

Some information available for:

Aleutian subduction zone - Kenai and Kodiak segments Central Denali Fault Castle Mountain fault Fairweather Fault Donnelly Dome fault Very little characterization information for other known active faults. Many (most) active faults in Alaska are unknown, unmapped

#### Present Status Source Identification - Seismicity

- Regional seismicity mapping fair to locally very good
- Seismograph network expanding
- Some strong motion instrumentation but short record, few large events recorded
- Attenuation studies needed for Alaska



## Present Status Liquefaction, Ground Failure, Soils, Site Response

- Anchorage Urban area some good data and an ongoing effort to develop local site response information
- Limited information available for some specific use sites in other parts of the state
- No statewide data base
- No statewide program for seismic soils mapping, site response information
- Site response studies and surface geology/soils maps needed for urban areas in high hazard parts of the state.

## Seismic Risk

Based on:

Seismic hazards and land uses, development specifications, activities

Needed - Inventories and descriptions of uses, infrastructure, and activities at sites or facilities of concern.

These include: Population centers, dams, power plants, critical and important infrastructure, large buildings emergency response facilities and shelters, schools, hospitals and medical facilities, fire departments, communication facilities, highways, bridges, energy production and transmission, ports, airports, hazardous facilities or materials, water and sewage treatment systems, repositories of fuel, food, etc.